| Question   | Step 1 (Level 1*)  | Step 2 (Level 2*)  | Step 3 (Level 3*)  | Step 4 (Level 4*)   | Step 5 (Level 5)             |
|--|--|--|--|---|------------------------------|
| How common is the problem?   | Local and current random<br>sample surveys (or<br>censuses)  | Systematic review of surveys<br>that allow matching to local<br>circumstances**                    | Local non-random sample**  | Case-series**   | n/a                          |
| Is this diagnostic or<br>monitoring test<br>accurate?<br>(Diagnosis) | Systematic review<br>of cross-sectional<br>studies with consistently<br>applied reference<br>standard and blinding   | Individual cross-sectional<br>studies with consistently applied<br>reference standard and blinding | Non-consecutive studies,<br>or studies without<br>consistently applied<br>reference standards**  | Case-control studies, or<br>"poor or non-independent<br>reference standard**              | Mechanism-based<br>reasoning |
| What will happen if<br>we do not add a<br>therapy? (Prognosis)       | Systematic review<br>of inception cohort studies   | Inception cohort studies   | Cohort study or control arm of<br>randomized trial*  | Case-series or case-<br>control studies, or poor-<br>quality prognostic cohort<br>study** | n/a                          |
| Does this interventior<br>help? (Treatment<br>Benefits)              | Systematic review<br>of randomized trials or <i>n</i> -of-1<br>trials  | Randomized trial<br>or observational study with<br>dramatic effect                                 | Non-randomized controlled<br>cohort/follow-up study**  | Case-series, case-control<br>studies, or historically<br>controlled studies**             | Mechanism-based<br>reasoning |
| What are the<br>COMMON harms?<br>(Treatment Harms)                   | Systematic review of<br>randomized trials,<br>systematic review<br>of nested case-control<br>studies, <i>n</i> - of-1 trial with the<br>patient you are raising the<br>question about, or<br>observational study with<br>dramatic effect | Individual randomized trial<br>or (exceptionally) observational<br>study with dramatic effect      | Non-randomized controlled<br>cohort/follow-up study (post-<br>marketing surveillance) provided<br>there are sufficient numbers to<br>rule out a common harm. (For<br>long-term harms the duration of<br>follow-up must be sufficient.)** | Case-series, case-control,<br>or historically controlled<br>studies**                     | Mechanism-based<br>reasoning |
| What are the RARE<br>harms?<br>(Treatment Harms)                     | Systematic review of<br>randomized trials or <i>n</i> -of-1<br>trial   | Randomized trial<br>or (exceptionally) observational<br>study with dramatic effect                 |  |   |                              |
| Is this (early<br>detection) test<br>worthwhile?<br>(Screening)      | Systematic review of<br>randomized trials  | Randomized trial   | Non -randomized controlled<br>cohort/follow-up study**   | Case-series, case-control,<br>or historically controlled<br>studies**                     | Mechanism-based<br>reasoning |

\* Level may be graded down on the basis of study quality, imprecision, indirectness (study PICO does not match questions PICO), because of inconsistency between studies, or because the absolute effect size is very small; Level may be graded up if there is a large or very large effect size. \*\* As always, a systematic review is generally better than an individual study.

## Table 2. Review of efficacy and tolerability of actinic keratosis treatments

| Topical agent                    | Regimen  | Localization                        | Local<br>interven<br>tion (L)<br>or field<br>canceris<br>ation<br>treatme<br>nt (F) | Maximum<br>treatable area | Efficacy                                | Ranking of long-term<br>patient/lesion-specific<br>clearance rates ranking<br>based on network meta-<br>analysis (RR 95% CI) * | Provisional<br>grading of<br>application site<br>reactions** | Systemic side-<br>effects    | Ranking of efficacy<br>based on network<br>meta-analysis (OR, 95%<br>CI) *** |
|----------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---|---------------------------|---|--|--|------------------------------|--|
| 5% 5-FU                          | twice daily 4 weeks  | face, scalp<br>neck,<br>extremities | F   | 500 cm2                   | 47-94% lesions<br>38-96% patients       | 2.80 (0.99-7.86) patient<br>1.59 (0.82-3.09) lesion  | +++  | rarely<br>myelosuppression   | 35.0 (10,2-164.4)  |
| 0.5% 5-FU+10<br>salycyilic acid% | once daily 4 weeks   | face, scalp<br>neck,<br>extremities | F+L   | 25 cm2                    | 39.4%-98.7% lesions<br>55.4% patients   | NA (patient)<br>0.92 (0.44- 1.93) lesion   | ++   | none                         | 7.6 (4.6-13.5)   |
| 4% 5-FU                          | once daily 4 weeks   | face and scalp                      | F   | none                      | 80.5% patients                          | NA (patient)<br>NA (lesion)  | +++ (better than<br>5% 5FU)                                  | rarely<br>myelosuppression   | 30.3 (9.1-144.7)   |
| 5% imiquimod                     | 3 times a week 4 weeks, for<br>residual lesion another 4<br>weeks      | face and scalp                      | F   | 1 sachet per<br>day       | 45.1%-93.6% lesions<br>24%-85% patients | 5.98, 2.26-15.84 patient<br>2.83 (1.00-8.02) lesion  | ++   | rarely flu-like<br>symptomes | 17.9 (9.1-36.6)  |
| 3.75% imiquimod                  | once daily 2 weeks, 2<br>weeks break, than again<br>once daily 2 weeks | face and scalp                      | F   | none                      | 34%-81.8% lesions                       | 0.80 (0.18-3.51) patient<br>NA (lesion)  | ++   | rarely flu-like<br>symptomes | 8.5 (3.5-22.4)   |
| 1% tirbanibulin                  | once daily 5 days  | face and scalp                      | F   | 25 cm2                    | 44%-54% lesions<br>76%-82% patients     | NA (patient)<br>NA (lesion)  | +  | none                         | 11.1% (6.2-20.9)   |
| 3% diclofenac                    | Twice daily 60-90 days   | face and scalp                      | F   | 200 cm2 or 8<br>g/d       | 51.8%-81% lesions<br>27%-50% patients   | 1.13 (0.13-9.89)<br>0.75 (0.38-1.46)   | +  | none                         | 2.9 (1.9-4.3)  |

\*adapted from Steeb et al.(140); \*\*adapted from Koch et al. (125); \*\*\* adapted from Heppt el al. (123)



## Figure 1: Treatment algorithm for actinic keratoses