


Abrocitinib

<p>We recommend abrocitinib in AE patients, who are candidates for systemic treatment.</p>	↑↑	<p>100%</p>  <p>100 % Agreement</p> <p>(15/15) Evidence and consensus based, see Evidence Report</p>
---	----	---

abrocitinib: in licence for ≥ 12 years of age;
dosage ≥ 12 years: 100 or 200 mg per day based on disease burden and risk factors;
dosage adults age ≥ 65 : 100 mg per day

Certainty of evidence: Network meta-analysis from 2024^{1,2}:

Short term (up to 16 weeks) vs placebo (NMA medications used in clinical practice)

100 mg

⊕⊕⊕⊕ HIGH for mean difference **EASI** -8.5 (-10.3, -6.7); **POEM** -5.1 (-6.1, -4.1)

⊕⊕⊕○ MODERATE for mean difference **peak pruritus NRS** -1.6 (-2.1, -1.1); **DLQI** -3.4 (-4.3, -2.6)

200 mg

⊕⊕⊕⊕ HIGH for mean difference **EASI** -12.8 (-14.6, -11.1); **POEM** -8.4 (-9.3, -7.5); **DLQI** -5.6 (-6.3, -4.8)

⊕⊕⊕○ MODERATE for mean difference **peak pruritus NRS** -2.4 (-3, -1.8)

For abrocitinib versus other drugs, see Evidence Report

Mechanisms of action and efficacy

Abrocitinib is an oral JAK1 selective inhibitor and has shown efficacy in patients with moderate-to-severe AE when used as a monotherapy (MONO-1 and -2 studies) and in combination with topical therapies in achieving treatment response in comparison to placebo (COMPARE study), as measured using IGA and EASI-75 response. For instance, the proportion of patients with EASI-75 response at week 12 was significantly higher with abrocitinib 100 mg (~40-45%) and abrocitinib 200 mg (~61-63%) compared to placebo (~10-12%) in the MONO studies. In the COMPARE study the proportion of patients with EASI-75 response was significantly higher with abrocitinib 100 mg (~59%) and abrocitinib 200 mg (~70%) compared to placebo (27%).³ Similar efficacy has been demonstrated in the adolescent JADE TEEN trial for both the 100mg and 200mg doses, in combination with topical therapies.⁴ Importantly, in the COMPARE study (which had dupilumab as a comparator arm) higher responder rates were observed with abrocitinib 200 mg compared to dupilumab (p-values not calculated) after 16 weeks of treatment. The efficacy of abrocitinib 100 mg and dupilumab was similar in this subgroup. The results indicate that abrocitinib 200 mg may provide a higher probability of treatment response compared to dupilumab in patients with severe AE.⁵

Dosage: acute flare, short term, long term

Abrocitinib is licenced at the 100mg and the 200mg daily doses, with the lower dose recommended for adolescents as a starting dose. One study assessed flare prevention, i.e. the risk and probability of flares and recapture of treatment response following a flare. Of 1233 patients, 798 responders to induction with abrocitinib 200 mg (64.7%) were randomly assigned to dose maintenance, dose

reduction or treatment withdrawal (placebo). The flare probability during maintenance was 18.9%, 42.6%, and 80.9% with abrocitinib 200 mg, abrocitinib 100 mg, and placebo, respectively by week 52. Among patients with flare in the abrocitinib 200 mg, abrocitinib 100 mg, and placebo groups, 36.6%, 58.8%, and 81.6% regained IGA 0/1 response, respectively, and 55.0%, 74.5%, and 91.8% regained EASI index response, respectively, with rescue treatment of abrocitinib 200 mg plus medicated topical therapy.⁶

Safety

Based on long-term follow up of patients from the phase II and III trials as well as one long-term extension study, with a total n of 2856 (1614 patient-years (PY); total exposure in the all-abrocitinib cohort was ≥ 24 weeks in 1248 patients and ≥ 48 weeks in 606 (maximum 108 weeks). In the placebo-controlled cohort (n = 1540), dose-related adverse events (200 mg, 100 mg, placebo) were nausea (14.6%, 6.1%, 2.0%), headache (7.8%, 5.9%, 3.5%), and acne (4.7%, 1.6%, 0%). Platelet count was reduced transiently in a dose-dependent manner; 2/2718 patients (200-mg group) had confirmed platelet counts of $< 50 \times 10^3/\text{mm}^3$ at week 4. Incidence rates (IRs) were 2.33/100PY and 2.65/100 PY for serious infection, 4.34/100PY and 2.04/100PY for herpes zoster, and 11.83/100PY and 8.73/100PY for herpes simplex in the 200-mg and 100-mg groups, respectively.⁷

Monitoring

For baseline screening, the manufacturer's UK label laboratory monitoring recommendations are full blood count including platelet count, absolute lymphocyte count (ALC), absolute neutrophil count (ANC), and haemoglobin (Hb) as well as lipid parameters. Creatinine phosphokinase level and an infection screening for HIV, hepatitis B and C as well as TB is advisable before initiation of therapy.

In practice, we recommend the same baseline screening and treatment monitoring investigations for all JAK inhibitors. For baseline screening this is a full blood count, renal, liver and lipid profile as well as creatinine phosphokinase levels and hepatitis, HIV and TB screen.

For monitoring purposes, we recommend a full blood count, renal, liver and lipid profile as well as creatinine phosphokinase level at four weeks into treatment and then three-monthly while on therapy.

Combination with other treatments

No studies assessing the use of abrocitinib with other systemic therapies have been published to date.

Special considerations

Abrocitinib is a new JAK inhibitor and has not been formally tested in other inflammatory diseases. Please refer to the special considerations in the JAK inhibitor introduction chapter.

References

1. Drucker AM, Lam M, Prieto-Merino D, et al. Systemic Immunomodulatory Treatments for Atopic Dermatitis: Living Systematic Review and Network Meta-Analysis Update. *JAMA Dermatol.* Jul 17 2024;doi:10.1001/jamadermatol.2024.2192
2. Drucker AM, Flohr C, Ellis AG, et al. Systemic immunomodulatory treatments for atopic dermatitis: a living systematic review and network meta-analysis. 18.07.2024, <https://eczematherapies.com/research/>
3. Drucker AM, Ellis AG, Bohdanowicz M, et al. Systemic Immunomodulatory Treatments for Patients With Atopic Dermatitis: A Systematic Review and Network Meta-analysis. *JAMA Dermatol.* Jun 1 2020;156(6):659-667. doi:10.1001/jamadermatol.2020.0796
4. Eichenfield LF, Flohr C, Sidbury R, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Abrocitinib in Combination With Topical Therapy in Adolescents With Moderate-to-Severe Atopic Dermatitis: The JADE TEEN Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Dermatol.* Oct 1 2021;157(10):1165-1173. doi:10.1001/jamadermatol.2021.2830
5. Bieber T, Simpson EL, Silverberg JI, et al. Abrocitinib versus Placebo or Dupilumab for Atopic Dermatitis. *N Engl J Med.* Mar 25 2021;384(12):1101-1112. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2019380
6. Blauvelt A, Silverberg JI, Lynde CW, et al. Abrocitinib induction, randomized withdrawal, and retreatment in patients with moderate-to-severe atopic dermatitis: Results from the JAK1 Atopic Dermatitis Efficacy and Safety (JADE) REGIMEN phase 3 trial. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology.* Aug 17 2021;(21)(S0190-9622):02343-4. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2021.05.075
7. Simpson EL, Silverberg JI, Nosbaum A, et al. Integrated Safety Analysis of Abrocitinib for the Treatment of Moderate-to-Severe Atopic Dermatitis From the Phase II and Phase III Clinical Trial Program. *Am J Clin Dermatol.* Sep 2021;22(5):693-707. doi:10.1007/s40257-021-00618-3